
ASHLAND CITY COUNCIL

Work Session Minutes
February 28, 2008

Thursday, February 28, 2008, Council Chambers 7:00 P.M.

Purpose:

Discussion regarding a proposed lease agreement with the Ashland County Historic Preservation Alliance, Inc. for use of the "Siler" property.

Attendance:

Council members Steve Stuart, Bob Valentine W1, Bob Valentine W2, Ruth Detrow, Paul Wertz

Mayor: Glen Stewart

City Engineer: Jim Cooper

Asst. to City Engineer: Larry Paxton

Director of Law: Richard P. Wolfe II

Director of Parks & Recreation: Tim Clingan

Scott L. Brown: Ashland County Historic Preservation Alliance, Inc.

Council Clerk: Valarie Bishoff

Media: T-G Travis Minnear

Public

Roll Call: Steve Stuart, Bob Valentine W1, Bob Valentine W2, Ruth Detrow W3, Paul Wertz W4

Pledge of Allegiance:

Paul Wertz: This is a Special Work Session on a proposed lease agreement with Ashland County Historical Preservation Alliance, Inc. for the use of the "Siler" property.

Robert L. Valentine W1: Rick, I appreciate the background information that you sent to us. This all started back in 2004, correct?

Richard P. Wolfe II: You got a Grant to buy the property.

Robert L. Valentine W1: \$179,000.00. We just bought the property.

Tim Clingan, Director of Parks & Recreation: The main goal was just to secure the land. That is what Clean Ohio does, provide funds to purchase land for Green Space. We had to have at that time, what our goals were. Well, we were going to call it the Jamison Creek Nature Preserve. That is what the project was called. We were going to put trails through there. Virtually what we had done with Sandusky Hollow. It also had a house on it in the period of 1846. We discussed at that time with the structure of what we really wanted. Should we just level it or what? We talked about and thought it could be a historical location. If we would renovate it and have it as a facility that could be visited by the community, but especially through the youth and have maybe a pet farm and build a barn that would be similar to the period of the 1850's, 1840's so that the youth can see how a working farm actually was at that period of time. Those were mainly the goals. Of course at that time the Hatchery was still there. Not sure what we were going to do with that. We were talking about renovating that and that would become the Education facility. That was the size of about a four-car garage and you would have some Outdoor Education classes there too. A lot of classes go overnight someplace with an additional expense; where they can just go here for a day, do their outdoor

education. Various types of mild diversity going on as far as wildlife is concerned. That is basically what the plan was to begin with. We never put a deadline on when this was going to happen. Our number one issue was to procure the land before it was lost. And of course you know how the finances have gone ever since. We have not had the finances to do that. There was a two-car garage that was attached to that. We knew it was in really bad shape and it was torn down. It was eliminated. We have had vandalism throughout the period so we went ahead and we put 4x8 sheets of plywood on all the ground floor windows and even some of the doors to eliminate the damage. Most of those windows are original. We want to keep it as original as possible. We did not want to level the house because the structure is really a solid structure. There is a little bit of deterioration on the brick at the ground level. But some of the unique features of that house are very interesting, especially off of the back where the summer porch is and summer kitchen with an open fireplace in there and a beehive cook oven. We had the support of Mayor Strine at that time and we just decided we couldn't tear that down. There had been from the original dormers, two dormers that were put in that faced the back of the house. They were in terrible shape and a lot of water was coming in there. We took bids and we had a roofer come in and eliminated the dormers and put a new roof on. There were chimneys at each end of the house; they were dangerous and taken down to the level of the roof.

Robert L. Valentine W1: I appreciate the background. I think people should know what it is. I read through it and got this information and I didn't know whether you were going to be here.

Ruth Detrow: Mr. Clingan, in the original plan for this, was there a plan to build a restroom, a public restroom?

Tim Clingan: It was stated that because it was going to be a public facility, I am not sure what the exact wording was; but a restroom would probably be needed because of what we want to use it for.

Ruth Detrow: But that wasn't tied to the Grant? It was part of the plan that you gave?

Tim Clingan: Absolutely. Very similar to what we did at Sandusky Hollow. We were going to build a Pavilion out there as a learning structure. The School system was going to benefit from that and they were going to help us build that. The need has not pushed to the surface yet. When that happens, there will probably have to be a restroom facility similar put up.

Robert L. Valentine W1: There is a difference though, the difference being that according to the original material that we received, the Board was going to have to pretty much approve what we going to do. So it probably wasn't going to be something like what you put up before. It was going to have to have historical background to go along with the time of the house.

Tim Clingan: I talked to Jim Cooper. It was my idea at the time. It was not written down. It would have to blend in with the facilities. It will have to be ADA approved to meet those codes and I picture maybe two 5 x 8 pieces back to back that will be laid up with cement block with a sloped roof and the facing would be unfinished wood, treated, unfinished wood. Like an out house just like it was back then in 1850's but with running water. It would be unisex. It is not going to be the size like in a park.

Robert L. Valentine W1: Whenever this project would be completed, I would assume that this would be the type of project that was going to draw a lot of people; you are going to have to have a pretty good size restroom.

Tim Clingan: As time goes on, yes. I could see maybe them in different locations throughout the facility.

Mayor Stewart: Mr. Valentine, what Board were you referring to when you said the Board?

Richard P. Wolfe II: You made reference to a Board having to approve something? What Board were you referring to?

Robert M. Valentine W2: It was referring to us, as Council, to approve it.

Tim Clingan: So that comment of the design of this restroom came out of Tim Clingan's head. I have no idea of when. Code, it would have to be a primitive appearing structure.

Robert L. Valentine W1: My comments or concerns wasn't with what you want to do, it was the commitment that the city had to make. When you were here the last time, we talked about that and you said we could talk about that. We couldn't make any concessions when you are dealing with an Ordinance. You cannot change an Ordinance.

Scott Brown: I appreciate the city has to take into account; they have to protect what the city can do and can't do. Everything I put in here that we requested the city participate in, in my mind was a low budget item, other than maybe the restroom. But those were things that the city was going to end up doing in one form or another with the Grant proposal. I guess a lot of stuff that I was asking wasn't something that the city wasn't going to occur cost or do anyway. The utilities, the electric. There is no water out there, it is a well system and there is a septic system. No gas lines out there. Electric is ongoing out there now. Would we add to that electric? Renovating out there, yes we would. I don't think it would be an astronomical amount until such time you put a heating system in there; which we would have to look at would it be better for us to run gas out to that or do a geo thermal all electric that we haven't gotten that far yet. The poison ivy is all over the yard out there. You will have to do anyway. If you renovate the house, the dumpster I have some cost on that and the port-a-pot. They are not large ticket items but for us starting out they are something we have to worry about. That is what we are asking the city to take part in. In the Grant proposal, it was indicated in the first five years, you were not really going to do anything according to what the State said. In the second five years, the development of infrastructure at these public meetings of Parks & Recreation will create a 10 year development plan and that will include construction of access roads, construction of parking facilities, suitable for any anticipated needs, trails throughout, staging areas. Then the second thing was restrooms. I was looking at what the city could help us with; things you were going to end up having to do anyway.

Robert L. Valentine W1: I can understand your logic, but the rational is things have changed. The situation as far as finances are concerned are much different today then they were back then. What you are doing is making a commitment for the future too. I think it is something we have to take into consideration because we are using taxpayer's money.

Stephen Stuart: The plan could be relatively inexpensive or it could not be. We do not have any figures as far as cost of what the restrooms would be, what the cost of running gas or water out there would be.

Scott Brown: If we run gas out there, Steve, we figured that is on us. If we are putting that kind of heating system in, we are paying for that. The only thing I specifically asked for the city to participate in was those items. Everything else that we rehab, the barn, the house. Electric is the only utility out there. There is no water out there.

Ruth Detrow: Remember some of the things you said the last time. I think it was a very wise comment that we have to put everything in writing because your Board will change, City Council will change and we can't have everything that down the road would be a disaster and one of the things I am concerned about is utilities. The way it is stated in that agreement; we would have to pay, five years from now, you would have a thriving project and there are kids coming in from all over to experience this old time farm. I live in an old house; I know what it costs just to heat.

Scott Brown: If we got to the point where the project was completed and we were open for business for the public; we would not require the city for anything.

Ruth Detrow: That is the kind of thing we need to have in the agreement so that if I step in front of a truck or if you decide you are going to leave your job and go somewhere else, it doesn't matter because it is written.

Scott Brown: I have no problems with the day we open up; we can start making a little money by donations or however we are going to do that. That would terminate the city continuing to pay the electric.

Stephen Stuart: Would you feel comfortable Scott with changing the utilities to be paid for by the city; the electric being paid for by the city?

Scott Brown: If you want to specify it by electricity, that is fine by me. And I am thinking right now trying to find out what the city is paying for electric. Until we put any kind of heating system in there, the electric drawn is really going to be minute especially in the summer when it is light until 9:00 pm.

Mayor Stewart: What would Council think about putting a cap on per year for some of these things? For instance, a cap on how much electricity, or how much you want to pay for a port-a-pot if that were to be required or how much you are willing to budget for a dumpster. These things have huge variables. If you take a dumpster and fill it half full and it set over the winter, then you have a lot of demijurge.

Ruth Detrow: It is a good idea.

Robert L. Valentine W1: Ohio Edison is asking for a 30-35% increase. Put in a liberal figure.

Scott Brown: We have to see what the best costs are. Geo Thermal is the better deal for older homes because it is more constant. We also would have to look at what it would cost to run a gas line. But the dumpster will be a one time thing because we plan on doing what demolition we have to do within 30-60 days. A price from Simonson is \$ 300.00 for a 30 cu yd dumpster. They pick up and deliver it. You have to be done with it by a certain time or it is \$25.00 dollars a week. That is the only time I plan on using the dumpster.

Robert M. Valentine W2: I have only been around a couple of years on this Council and all of this other stuff about this was done before I was here and the first time I was made aware of this is November when you came and gave your presentation. It was a good presentation and I am all for it, but all of a sudden from November until last week, now we get an Ordinance. It was a little quick for me because I did not know everything and I imagine Stephen didn't either. All of a sudden I get a presentation in November basically and then zapped with an Ordinance. What the former Mayor did with you; we have no idea because we were not privy to the information. I knew nothing about it.

Scott Brown: Can I give you a quick background on that? I talked to the Mayor about a new group we were starting and he said, by the way, we have a project we might not be able to deal with anymore because of the issues Council indicated with finding the manpower. He asked me if we had an interest in it and I said absolutely. That is how it started.

Richard P. Wolfe II: I was of the impression that everybody was pretty on board with all of this and when we got the approval from the State, which we had been waiting for about one month, once we got that, I went ahead and prepared an Ordinance. If that jumped to that level too quickly, that is because I went ahead and prepared it for you but that is how it came about. Now we are where we should have been before that. Maybe Scott can explain his groups funding and finances a little more, but my impression was that you are a little more labor intensive now than you are fund intensive, what you can contribute is expertise and labor to get the job done and that you are hoping to get contributions and you are hoping to get Grants and so we have to be a little bit careful of how we tie in a financial obligation on their part because they don't yet have the funding and that is one of the things they just acquired or in the process of acquiring, their IRS tax status which would make the contributions to their group, tax deductible. So they haven't started receiving those kinds of contributions yet. They haven't been in a position to apply for any grants

yet. So how and when they are going to be able to assume certain financial obligations is probably not completely clear at this point.

Scott Brown: Part of the thing we are doing from an operational cost is Betty Plank has allowed us with the help of the historical society, to reprint her first book. Those funds from that book are going to be used to pay for our operational costs, which is the insurance of which I said we would pay for. When we get that property, we would on behalf of the city, get that house and property registered in the National Registry which opens up the doors for us to apply for certain kinds of Grants that we cannot do until that house is on the National Registry. So there are certain sequences that have to take place and I have a lot of people in my group who are very extensively knowledgeable about restoration and a lot of volunteering from AU who are interested in helping with this through different professors, through groups there. There are a lot of people who want to help, but we cannot start it obviously until... So not putting the cart before the horse, but some of the money won't come in until later on.

Robert L. Valentine W1: Scott when you apply for Grants, you need the City as the vehicle; is there any requirement that the city has to make financially?

Scott Brown: We are looking for a strictly Historical type Grant.

Richard P. Wolfe II: You might not need the city for some of the Grants you are applying for because they are an entity in of themselves. That is why when he says, when they get the property, we would still own it, but we would be leasing it to them and they would have, based on that, they could apply for certain Grants. There could be something that they would apply for that might need the cooperation of the city, but I also had the understanding you anticipated applying for Grants in your name and under your own auspices.

Scott Brown: Convention and Visitors Bureau was one that we had to have someone else comply with us for the Grant. We had to have some other entity because that is going to benefit people coming through.

Stephen L. Stuart: If we can for a maximal amount pay for a dumpster, \$1000.00 dollars, would that make sense to Council?

Ruth Detrow: That would be a lot more than he says it would cost.

Robert M. Valentine W2: I would rather be on the high side than the low side.

Scott Brown: The price he gave me was \$300.00 per month. If I got the stuff out before the middle of April, he will give me the second one free. If that wasn't the case they had to have, it was \$25.00 dollars a week, so \$500.00 dollars would cover my 60-day window.

Mayor Stewart: I would suggest that Council tell me what line item to take that out of. I am all for it. You all have a copy of the budget.

Ruth Detrow: Parks?

Mayor Stewart: We just took \$60,000.00 dollars away from Tim Clingan.

Scott Brown: I understand the city; the economy is not where it needs to be in Ashland. I know there are a lot of people hurting. I do not want to do something to jeopardize what the city has; I know the budget is coming up. The other issue is the port-a-pot. The rest we can work with down the road and not commit each other to anything on that and not have it in writing as long as you said, we'll help you somehow. That is all I care about without obligating any money. Maybe that was through a block grant.

Robert L. Valentine W1: We can have that on the record too. We can have that on the record that we did discuss it.

Scott Brown: But the port-a-pot is important because I will have volunteers working out there.

Stephen L. Stuart: What do they cost?

Scott Brown: \$960.00 a year. That is the information I got. I am sure the city gets them cheaper than what I would be getting them for.

Tim Clingan: We do use them throughout the park. It is somewhere between \$80.00 and about \$99.00 dollars per month.

Scott Brown: \$960.00 dollars and they service it once a week. That is who we use for the Balloon Fest.

Ruth Detrow: Is block grant money available for this? Or is that something that is committed already Jim?

Jim Cooper: I think in our process with the budget as tight as it is, we are going to look for that to do a few projects in the infrastructure.

Robert M. Valentine W2: I have looked at the budget, and it is a tight budget. If this Council decides not to do Baldrige this year, we have a Baldrige fund. That isn't going to happen unless you override me, because the Baldrige process is an investment that we have already invested in for several years and we initiated it again last Wednesday at our staff meeting.

Robert M. Valentine W2: I am just saying that this Council, if we don't have any money in the budget.

Mayor Stewart: If you want to say \$1000.00 dollars, we will pay \$1000.00 dollars. I am not sure where, but we will find \$1000.00 dollars. If you want to say, Glen can you get me \$1000.00 dollars and we will spend it between April and June or July. I will find \$1000.00 dollars somewhere.

Scott Brown: Our group does not want to cause any hardships. We are the ones with our neck sticking out here to be honest with you to get it done. Our initial start up here, we are cash poor, but volunteer rich and skill rich. Starting out, if I would have to pay those right now, I wouldn't be able to do some other things that is paying the bills that we have got to do in the organization.

Mayor Stewart: Scott, if this Council and I know they will, work with me, we will find \$1000.00 dollars somewhere. It may be in two or three different categories.

Robert L. Valentine W1: When we agree to the Ordinance, what will we take out and what will we leave in as far as the commitments that the city has?

Mayor Stewart: I am not prepared to answer that. Rick would have to take another look at that. I am only addressing, if there is an immediate need, and there is, to get the; and construction season is coming on us, so we can address probably a \$50.00 dollar a month electric bill.

Scott Brown: I am not an expert on that. But just for the use of what we are doing out there, \$50-60 dollars is the range people told me. I don't know if that accounts for what Ohio Edison is going to try to do here with the raises.

Mayor Stewart: They just took about a 25-30% increase on our streetlights; it went from \$7800.00 dollars to \$10,200.00 dollars.

Stephen L. Stuart: If we go through and we look at what is before us and the need to address it, hopefully in a positive way, with the limitations that we are facing, we may look at this and in a short-term kind of thing. The dumpster, we talked about \$500.00 dollar cap on that. Assuming the responsibility to kill the poison ivy is that reasonable. The port-a-pot, for what period of time?

Richard P. Wolfe II: It seems we need to help get them through the first year.

Scott Brown: Could I squeeze two years out of you on that?

Ruth Detrow: Have our first contract a two-year contract then renegotiate?

Scott Brown: On these three items?

Ruth Detrow: Well, I was thinking about everything, but maybe those three only.

Richard P. Wolfe II: One of the things they are looking for and it is a balancing act; but they looking for some assurance of stability that they are going to be able to continue to do what they want to do. And I think we want them to do that. We can isolate certain terms, and say the parties will revisit these at the end of a two-year period and two parties to an agreement can always change or modify the agreement at any time. Plus, we would have a probation period for escape if either party couldn't reach an agreement, but I don't think we are anticipating that kind of situation. We are looking at almost March 1 now and even though it doesn't seem like it outside, it is not too far away from springtime. So you will probably want to get working within a month, wouldn't you? By the first of April?

Scott Brown: Yes.

Scott Brown: My only concern Rick, I don't want these three items to interfere to the most important thing in my mind is the lease. My biggest concern is, if that lease was negotiable on the city's part, that in five to ten years and the city says, we love what you did; we want it now, you are done! That is not in our best interest of the group.

Richard P. Wolfe II: There is the lease for the right to use the property and there are certain terms under the lease that can be reviewed and renegotiated. When I first drafted it, I picked ten which seemed to be a reasonable time to give you some assurance but that if something happened and nothing was going on in three-four years, we could say, hey we are out of this and if it wasn't working, ten years seemed like an appropriate number. And if everything were going along as everybody thought, it would just be subject to renewal. It is just a little hard at this stage to project 20, 40 years out. We can always see how things are going.

Scott Brown: This is an idea that I had, but it may not be acceptable to Council; I don't hope this would happen, but four years from now, we can't get it done, our group dissolves, we walk away. The only thing that I could say to City Council, is whatever we did in the previous four years was a betterment to what you have out there now. We are the ones who lose out because our effort, money and energy are gone. And I like a ten-year lease, but I want it renewable as a perpetual lease on our part, that we are the ones that request the renewal and the city can't say, "We don't want you to renew it". Unless our organization gets resolved or you have a clause here that says, what triggers us not performing to what we originally said we would do. Because my biggest concern is in ten years; it will take us five to six years to get this done and we start showing the fruits out of it and you guys are all gone and the next City Council comes in and says by the way, we are not going to renew that lease; that is not acceptable.

Ruth Detrow: Is there a way to write the lease so that, that can't happen? He certainly has a valid point.

Robert L. Valentine W1: I think if it goes the way you are projecting it, it looks to me like it will work. I like the idea of the ten years.

Richard P. Wolfe II: What he is saying, he wouldn't mind ten years as long as in the ten years it was renewable at their option, not our option. He is concerned with the rug being pulled out from under him after ten years. I think we could put in some language to the effect, that provided that the project has developed as was originally intended, it would be renewable at their option, but if things aren't working out then the city could pull the plug on it.

Scott Brown: Because the same thing as the city has concerns about its ten-year, if I dump \$400,000.00 dollars of money and labor and in ten years you tell me adios into the sunset; that would be tough to swallow.

Richard P. Wolfe II: That is a good point. I will give that some thought.

Mayor Stewart: You felt that one 30 yd dumpster would do the job. What if you had two 5 yd dumpsters three or four times?

Scott Brown: That would probably work because we are not going to get all of this work done at one time. The debris from the interior home, yes that would probably suffice. But there is a lot of debris around the property that needs to be taken away and we cannot afford to do that.

Mayor Stewart: Give me the option to work with our Sanitation Department to see what we can do. Our dumpsters are 5 yds. I don't know how many of them I have. Give me the option to look into that and I will do that tomorrow.

Scott Brown: Because in the Restoration process, what we talked about. We are trying to restore a lot of the original plaster. We are only throwing out what is already down.

Mayor Stewart: You think you would need them through the summer?

Scott Brown: Yes.

Mayor Stewart: I will get back with you on that.

Richard P. Wolfe II: You are not always going to be able to work when you want to. There is going to be weather circumstances, there are going to be people on vacation. It is not going to be like a construction project, where you are going to have a time frame and working people overtime. You are going to take most of the summer.

Scott Brown: If you have ever seen "IF WALLS COULD TALK"? You are just going to want to go through everything and make sure you are not missing any historical significant items. That will take some time.

Mayor Stewart: Can a Sanitation truck get back up to the House?

Scott Brown: You dump the dumpsters right on the cement pad where the garage used to be.

Richard P. Wolfe II: Is the roadway an issue?

Robert M. Valentine W2: Yes, that is the only thing.

Mayor Stewart: But this is a construction roadway, it is available now, is that right?

Scott Brown: There is a gravel roadway back there now.

Stephen L. Stuart: Scott, on the issue of number three, what do you have in mind that might include any new areas that would be required?

Scott Brown: I envision this: If we get the barn, we have a contact on a barn, same period out there. You get the kids in there it would be like a miniature Malabar Farm. If we make this successful and pull out and maybe entrust it to a Siler Trust which is nonprofit that would run it. We would be available to start another project. If we can be that successful with it. There is no overflow parking. Limited place for parking. We are more concerned with a bus turnaround for kids. If there is a language in there that the city would help us if available at the time we look at the restrooms and the parking based on the timeline. That could be six years from now.

Paul Wertz: Basically the first year, all you are really asking for the city to do is:

- 1) Port-A-Pot
- 2) Dumpsters
- 3) Electricity
- 4) City do mowing
- 5) Poison Ivy control

Scott Brown: In my eyes, Paul. I am not making light of it; I know the budget is tight. In my eyes, I am not asking a lot. We are trying to give back to the city. I just don't have that money to pay for those costs right now.

Mayor Stewart: You mentioned you have a contract on a barn?

Scott Brown: It is one that the city had. The gentleman out there gave us a lead on one that down the road, it is exactly what we want. There is not a contract, it was just a verbal.

Mayor Stewart: For information, we may need to move on the barns and the silos out at the Riley property.

Scott Brown: I signed off on those. They wouldn't work with what we had to do.

Mayor Stewart: So we are going to probably pursue this.

Scott Brown: That gentleman who had contracted the city gave us a lead that was actually similar to the actual barn that sat out there. We are hoping that will work out.

Richard P. Wolfe II: Are you looking for something that is consistent with the period?

Scott Brown: Correct. The one that was up there was an 1838 barn, the one that we might be able to get was 1940's, so close enough for us. We will work with the Ashland County Barn Organization to help facilitate getting that done too. The more people we get involved to getting this done, the easier it will be.

Mayor Stewart: I like what you are doing. It is a real asset to the community.

Scott Brown: It can be really something special out there.

Mayor Stewart: I wish we had a bucket of money to pour into that to help you.

Scott Brown: We are hoping we run across someone who will give it to us. There are Grants out there, but we cannot do it until it is registered to the National Registry.

Richard P. Wolfe II: Well let me see if I can put some language together that gets more specific on the expenses that we had talked about, but also tries to address their concern about longevity. We won't have it for Tuesday, but we will shoot for the next Council meeting.

Robert L. Valentine W1: Rick, is there some way, when you get this all together, that we can look at it and give any comments? Certainly as far as preservation is concerned for our Historical Society sites, is very important.

Robert M. Valentine W2: I just want to be there when you excavate.

Scott Brown: I am looking forward to that. Chris Buchanan who is the Vice President of our group was just hired by the Historical Society State of Ohio as their Senior Project Coordinator of all their Historical properties. That gives us privy to information on grants and such.

Comments or questions.

Richard P. Wolfe II: The next thing to do is for Council, and I will talk to Scott and make sure of what I said to you, we know is going to fly with him before it gets to you and then go from there.

Scott Brown: We could probably by the following meeting, get it resolved by then couldn't we?

Richard P. Wolfe II: I think so.

Paul Wertz: *We need to have an [Executive Session](#) with the Law Director concerning a matter of imminent court action. There will be no action taken.*

Motion to move into [Executive Session](#) by Paul Wertz, moved by Robert M. Valentine W2, seconded by Robert L. Valentine W1.

Ayes: Stephen L. Stuart, Robert L. Valentine W1, Robert M. Valentine W2, Ruth Detrow, Paul Wertz.

[Moved into Executive Session at 7:45 p.m.](#)

Moved back into [Special Session](#) by Ruth Detrow, seconded by Robert L. Valentine W1.

Ayes: Stephen L. Stuart, Robert L. Valentine W1, Robert M. Valentine W2, Ruth Detrow, Paul Wertz.

[Moved back into Special Session at 8:55 p.m.](#)

Move to Adjourn Special Session by Robert L. Valentine W1, seconded by Robert M. Valentine W2.

Ayes: Stephen L. Stuart, Robert L. Valentine W1, Robert M. Valentine W2, Ruth Detrow.

[Adjournment at 8:56 p.m.](#)

Submitted by
Valarie F. Bishoff
Clerk of Council

